

**BY ORDER OF THE COMMANDER
AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND**



**AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND
INSPECTION CHECKLIST 10-8**

1 MARCH 2000

Operations

**INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE
(ICBM) EMERGENCY WAR ORDER (EWO)
PLANS (WING, ORI)**

NOTICE: This publication is available digitally on the SAF/AAD WWW site at: <http://afpubs.hq.af.mil>.

OPR: DOMN (Maj Norbert A. Motz)
Supersedes AFSPCCL10-8, 1 Jun 98.

Certified by: DOM (Col Roger A. Schill)
Pages: 4
Distribution: F

This checklist reflects command requirements for units to prepare for and conduct internal reviews.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This checklist has been revised to update references and inspection philosophy. A bar (|) indicates a revision from the previous edition.

1. References are provided for each item. Critical items have been kept to a minimum and are related to public law, safety, security, fiscal responsibility, and/or mission accomplishment.
2. This publication establishes a baseline checklist. The checklist will also be used by the Command IG during applicable assessments. Use the attached checklist as a guide only. AFSPC Cecklists will not be supplemented. Units may produce their own standalone checklists as needed to ensure an effective and thorough review of unit programs. Units are encouraged to contact the Command Functional OPR of this Checklist to recommend additions and changes deemed necessary. See [Attachment 1](#).

WILLIAM R. LOONEY III, Maj Gen, USAF
Director of Operations

Attachment 1

ICBM EWO PLANS

Table A1.1. Checklist.

MISSION STATEMENT: (WING): Implement and maintain the ICBM EWO operations program and ensure targeting materials are accurate and consistent with the taskings from USSTRATCOM. NOTE: All References are from Generation and Targeting ICBM (GATI), unless otherwise noted.			
1.1. ICBM EWO PLANS CRITICAL ITEMS	YES	NO	N/A
TARGETING ACTIONS AND MATERIALS			
1.1.1. Does OSKE have the Priority Maintenance Listing (PML) and has OSKE provided the sortie generation sequence information contained in the PML to the unit Missile Maintenance Control Flight? (2-3)			
1.1.2. Are the documents/materials/information in Table 1 located in the LCCs, as applicable? (Table 1)			
1.1.3. Does OSKE ensure changed cases are not input to an operational MCG or HDA until receipt of a JPIC, geodetic update, FDM, or an abort resolution message? (3-8e)			
1.1.4. Is unit targeting accurate? Verified by Olympic Play requirements. (3-2a, 3-4, 3-7f)			
1.1.5. Are change notifications generated to require MCCs to update SIOP and EWO documents at LCCs upon completion of retargeting? (3-6d)			
1.1.6. Are appropriate agencies notified of retargeting requirements and effective dates? (3-6c)			
1.1.7. Are TAB, J5231 and DOME notified if the unit is unable to resolve a targeting abort? (3-15)			
1.1.8. Does the Target Materials Control Officer provide OSKC with a master targeting materials letter to load MCGs or HDAs and issue media? (3-14)			
1.1.9. Does the Target Materials Control Officer maintain an accurate list of the current targeting material stored in OSKE/OSKC? (20 AF Supp 1, 3.2.5)			

ICBM EWO PLANS CRITICAL ITEMS (CONT)	YES	NO	N/A
1.1.10. Are RDC actions accomplished IAW paragraph 3-8l and Fig 3-2?			
1.1.11. Does the validation of target/EP cases include a check of the data as directed in paragraph 3-15g and Fig 3-8?			
1.1.12. Is Top Secret material accounted for and controlled appropriately? (AFI 31-401, para 5.10)			
1.2. ICBM EWO PLANS NON-CRITICAL ITEMS	YES	NO	N/A
TARGETING ACTIONS AND MATERIALS			
1.2.1. Are unreadable JPICs handled correctly? (3-8c)			
1.2.2. Is a master retargeting workorder prepared for each squadron? (3-6e)			
1.2.3. Is OSKE meeting the requirement for reproducing TATDs? (3-5a)			
1.2.4. Does the MSORTIE report reflect the correct category codes for sorties removed from or returned to alert? (2-4c)			
1.2.5. Are deposturing timelines complied with? (2-4b)			
1.2.6. Are sorties declared off alert according to GATI? (2-2)			
1.2.7. When cases checksums do not compare, are affected LCCs notified not to perform generation, or RDC until deficiencies are corrected or until OSKE authorizes resumption of targeting actions? (3-17b)			
1.2.8. Does OSKE produce a computer generated format to file CMVCs and does it contain the appropriate information? (3-14d)			
1.2.9. Are procedures in place to ensure command post notifies OSKE when FDMs are received? (3-9b)			
1.2.10. Does OSKE send effective DTG change requests to TAB and info DOME? (3-8b)			

ICBM EWO PLANS NON-CRITICAL ITEMS (CONT)	YES	NO	N/A
1.2.11. Is TAB notified immediately if any discrepancies are noted during target/EP case validation? (3-8g)			
1.2.12. Are cases and appropriate retargeting instructions provided for each LCC within the squadron after target/EP case validation? (3-8h)			
1.2.13. Has the unit supplemented or deviated from the procedures contained in Generation and Targeting ICBM without the approval of DOMN? (Preface)			
1.2.14. Is the Commander's EWO Briefing presented to the commander and designated staff members in a timely manner? (1-3d(7))			
1.2.15. Does the Commander's EWO Briefing highlight major/significant changes in command and control and EWO procedures from the previous SIOP revision? (1-3d(7))			
1.2.16. Does OSKE brief all missile officers designated to receive EWO certification briefings, all operations officers assigned to the battle staff, and OSKE officers? (1-3d(7))			
1.2.17. Are generation priorities followed for sorties in modification or test programs? (Figure 2-2)			
1.2.18. Are unit requests to change sortie category codes coordinated with OSKE and submitted to DOME? (2-4)			
1.2.19. Is a report submitted IAW paragraph 3-20, for targeting/hardware conditions that require CDAP? (3-20)			
1.2.20. Are unit retarget completion messages submitted after retargeting actions are complete when required? (3-19)			
1.2.21. Do EWO Plans officers monitor operational mission and EWO impacts relative to: the status of assigned ICBMs; unit SACCS interconnectivity for retargeting purposes; and, LCC launch and RDC capability? (1-3f(12), (13))			